

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/512,817	02/25/2000	Kazuyoshi Kawaguchi	1115-0008-2	6700
22850	7590 01/14/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K	
	STREET RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2675	7.
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004	, ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
· Advisory Action	09/512,817	KAWAGUCHI ET AL.			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2675			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The tee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2 The prepared empendment(a) will not be extered by	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE:		·			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject		and the state of the state of			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • • • • •				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 3,4,11,12,14,15,19 and 20.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 5-10, 13, 16-18 and 21</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		1			
B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>			
10. Other:					
	STEVEN SARA SUPERVISORY PATENT I TECHNIOLOGY CENTE	EXAMINER			

//



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Ruckmongathan discloses an addressing method in which more than one address line is selected simultantaneously and where the method requires reduced power consumption. Terasak et al disclose a method for driving a liquid crystal display in order to reduce crosstalk in the display. Terasaki et al disclose where this is achieved by lengthening the minimum time of the level change of the signal voltage with a margin of time constant of the liquid panel, reduce power consumption and further reducing the number of times of changes in the signal voltage. Examiner maintains the prio art of Ruckmongathan and Terasaki et al are combinable under USC 103 as Terasaki et al disclose where by reducing the number of times of changes in the signal voltage reduces power consumption and crosstalk in the display. The combination of Ruckmongathan and Terasaki et al disclose the limitations set forth by the applicant in independent claims 1, 8, 16, 17 and 21.